

South Carolina Commission on Higher Education

Layton McCurdy, M.D., Chairman
Mr. Daniel Ravenel, Vice Chairman
Col. John T. Bowden, Jr.
Douglas R. Forbes, D.M.D.
Dr. Bettie Rose Horne
Dr. Raghu Korrapati
Dr. Louis B. Lynn
Ms. Cynthia C. Mosteller
Mr. James R. Sanders
Mr. Hood Temple
Mr. Kenneth B. Wingate
Mr. Randy Thomas
Mr. Neal J. Workman, Jr.
Dr. Mitchell Zais

Dr. Garrison Walters, Executive Director

CAAL 04/03/2008 Agenda Item 8

MEMORANDUM

To:

Dr. Bettie Rose Horne, Chair, and Members, Committee on Academic

Affairs and Licensing

From:

Dr. Gail M. Morrison, Director of Academic Affairs and Lick

Consideration of

Awards for Improving Teacher Quality Competitive Grants Program

New and Continuing Awards FY 2008-09

Background

Since 1984, the Commission on Higher Education has been responsible for administering federal funds under a Title II program of *The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*. In 2001, the federal legislation was re-authorized under the *No Child Left Behind Act*. Title II Part A entitled A *Preparing, Training, and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers and Principals* authorizes the Commission to conduct a competitive awards program. The purpose of this part of the federal legislation is to provide support to:

increase student academic achievement through strategies such as improving teacher and principal quality and increasing the number of highly qualified teachers in the classroom and highly qualified principals and assistant principals in schools.

The Commission is authorized to provide a competitive grants program to partnerships comprised, at a minimum, of schools of education and arts and sciences from higher education institutions along with one or more high-need local education agencies (LEAs) which are defined as school districts. Additional partners may be included as defined by the legislation. Funds to the state are allocated based on the FY 2001 amount received under the former *Eisenhower Professional Development* and *Class-Size Reduction* programs. Any remaining funds from the federal appropriation are distributed through a formula based on the State's school-age population and percent of these children in families with incomes below the poverty level.

The higher education program is a competitive grants program with the primary focus on professional development; however, there are several recent significant changes under the legislation. Foremost is that the Commission will only award grants to eligible partnerships that are comprised of, at a minimum, (1) a private or public institution of higher education and the division of the institution that prepares teachers and principals; (2) a school of arts and sciences; and (3) a high-need local education agency (defined in the legislation as a school district based upon U.S. census data). Additional partners may also be included. A second change is that there is no longer a focus on science and mathematics. Instead, nine core academic areas (English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography) can be addressed in proposals. A third change allows professional development to focus on in-service and pre-service teachers, as well as principals and paraprofessionals (in the core academic areas that the teachers teach). Finally, the emphasis of the proposed projects must be on low-performing districts and schools, and the Commission is charged with ensuring an equitable geographic distribution of grants.

The priority areas that proposals must address are determined by the federal legislation and are identified in the State's Consolidated State Plan submitted to the U.S. Department of Education.

Under federal regulations, 2.5 percent of the *Improving Teacher Quality Higher Education Grants* (ITQ) funds for the state are allocated to the Commission to be used for the competitive grants program. The Commission is expected to have \$960,000 with which to make Federal FY 2008-09 awards. Proposed projects may request up to \$100,000 in funds per year. Average budget requests for both continuing and new projects range from \$93,000 to \$130,000. The Commission seeks proposals that will have maximum impact and encourages multi-year programs to assure positive results on the target audience. The number of grants awarded will be determined primarily by the quality of the proposals submitted and the size of the negotiated final budgets in comparison to the total funds available. Equitable geographic distribution (i.e., districts served) must be considered in making awards, assuming proposals are deemed to be of high quality. No proposal will be considered unless it meets the minimum federal definition of a partnership (as stated in the *ITQ Guidelines and in the Federal Title II Non-Regulatory Guidance*).

Review Panel Recommendations

The FY 2007-08 review panel (**Attachment 1**) identified four fundable projects for funding because of their excellence and geographic representation. These proposals were not funded in FY 2007-08 because of the lack of available funds, but the panel recommended funding to begin at a later date when additional funding was available, contingent upon the submission of an updated proposal to CHE staff for review by

December 1, 2007. Three of the four recommended projects were submitted to CHE staff for review for FY 2008-09 awards (one proposal was not submitted because of a change in faculty and LEA personnel) and are shown on **Attachment 2.**

The three new proposals will allow seven new districts from the I-95 corridor (Jasper, Hampton 1, Hampton 2, Colleton, Dorchester 4 and Georgetown) to receive professional development in the areas of mathematics and science content. In addition, four new counties (McCormick, Newberry, Orangeburg and Fairfield) will receive professional development in mathematics and science content. In subsequent years, to further increase the number of high-needs counties that will receive professional development in the content that the teachers teach, priority points will be given to proposals that address the counties along the I-95 corridor that are eligible for partnerships. Staff will conduct technical assistance workshops for institutions to assist with writing strong, fundable grant proposals.

The funding amount requested for the new awards for FY 2008-09 is \$251,116, contingent upon availability of funds from the federal government.

In addition to the three new projects, five continuing projects were submitted and approved by the CHE staff for funding in FY 2008-09 (**Attachment 3**). These projects were reviewed by staff for their success in meeting the stated goals and objectives in their original proposals and for appropriate activities as identified by the federal guidelines. The total amount requested for continuing proposals in their second through fourth years of funding for awards made under the FY 2005-06 through 2007-08 grant competitions total \$555,000. The total funding amount requested for all projects is \$806,116. Six other funded projects have been concluded.

A map (**Attachment 4**) is attached which shows the high-needs LEAs that are eligible to participate in the Improving Teacher Quality Grant programs and also identifies those that are current partners as well as those that will become partners with the FY 2008-09 projects.

Recommendation

The staff recommends that the Committee on Academic Affairs and Licensing approve on behalf of the Commission the review panel's funding recommendations as shown in **Attachment 2** and **Attachment 3**. The Committee was given the authority to make the awards on behalf of the Commission for the *Improving Teacher Quality* grant program since its inception in 2002-03. In keeping with the procedure from previous years, the staff requests authority to negotiate the final program activities and budgets with the project directors. Funding will be contingent upon the project directors' revisions of the proposed projects in accord with last year's review panel's recommended changes and availability of funds.

Improving Teacher Quality Review Panel FY 2007-08

Dr. Linda Sellars

Chief Academic Officer Cherokee County School District P. O. Box 460 Gaffney, SC 29342 864-902-3548 Iinda.sellars@gw.cherokee1.k12.sc.us

Dr. Jerry Mitchell

District Office

Director, Center of Excellence for Geographic Education
University of South Carolina
Department of Geography
Columbia, SC 29208
803-777-2986
mitchejt@gwm.sc.edu

Dr. Argentini Anderson

Academic Affairs & Licensing SC Commission on Higher Education 1333 Main St., Suite 200 Columbia, SC 29201 803-737-2276 aanderson@che.sc.gov

Dr. Sandi Lemoine
Dean, College of Education
Lander University
320 Stanley Avenue

Greenwood, SC 39649 864-388-8248

slemoine@lander.edu

Higher Education - public

Dr. Fran Welch,

Dean, School of Education College of Charleston 66 George Street Charleston, SC 29424 (843) 953-8047 fwelch@cofc.edu Higher Education – public

Dr. Heyward Hickman

Applied Mathematics and Science Consultant Office of Curriculum and Standards State Department of Education 916-B Rutledge Building 1429 Senate Street Columbia, Sc 29201 803-734-0805 hhickman@ed.sc.gov

Dr. David Blackmon

Chair, Education Department
Coker College
300 East College Avenue
Harteville SC 20550

Hartsville, SC 29550 843-383-8103 dblackmon@coker.edu

Higher Education - private

Lori Ann Smith
Science Coordinator
NBCT
Sumter District Two
1345 Wilson Hall Rd
Sumter, SC 29151
Ph: 803-469-6900 ext. 511
LSMITH@scsd2.k12.sc.us
Science – K-12

Staff Support
Paula A. Gregg, Ph.D.
Academic Affairs & Licensing
SC Commission on Higher Education
1333 Main Street, Suite 200
Columbia, SC 29201

803-737-2246 pgregg@che.sc.gov

pgregg@che.sc.gov CHE

		Recommended for Funding	YES	2008-09			YES	2008-09			YES	2008-09					
		Funds Requested	\$ 99,496.00	\$ 100,828.00	\$ 101,678.00	\$ 100,644.00	\$ 94,665.00	\$ 94,665.00	\$ 95,437.00	\$ 61,264.00	\$ 96,072.00	\$ 95,800.00	\$ 96,922.00	\$ 99.450.00			\$ 290,233.00
			YR1	YR2	YR3	YR4	YR1	YR2	YR3	YR4	YR1	YR2	YR3	YR4			
Quality	nind mitted	High Need LEAs			Fairfield					Georgetown	Colleton,	Hampton 1,	Hampton 2,	Jasper,	McCormick,	Orangeburg 4	g Requests Total
Improving Teacher Quality FY 2008-09	No Child Left Behind New Proposals Submitted	Project Director				Elaine Wiegert				Mary Earick						Thomas Reid	First Year Funding Requests Total
dwI	Nev	Project Title		Meeting the Need for Highly	Qualified Mathematics	Teachers			Creating an Early Childhood	Nature-Based Inquiry Model			Distance Education for	Developing Highly Qualified	Middle School Mathematics	Teachers	
		Institution			Clemson	University	University	of South	Carolina –	Columbia			University	of South	Carolina –	Aiken	
		Proposal #				TQ08-01				ITQ08-02						ITQ08-03	

Attachment 3

Improving Teacher Quality Higher Education Continuing Projects FY 2008-09

	Comments		Year 3 (of 3)		Year 3 (of 4)					Year 3 (of 4)		Year 3 (of 4)							Year 2 (of 4)				
	Requested	Budget	\$93,750		\$93,750					\$130,000		\$112,500							\$125,000				
	Number of	Participants Served 2007-08	100 inservice elementary	administrators; 2000 students	62 preservice teachers and middle	school students; 28	inservice	elementary	teachers; 102 athletes	16 inservice	elementary teachers	20 inservice	secondary teachers;	50 students		71 inservice middle	school teachers						\$555,000
60	High Need	LEA(s)	Greenville		Lexington 2, Richland 1					Richland 1		Richland 1,	Lexington 2,	Orangeburg 5,	Sumter 2	Colleton,	Georgetown,	Greenville,	Marion 7,	Lexington 2,	Newberry,	Dorchester 4	ted FY 2008-09
FY 2008-09	Institution		Clemson		Clemson					Columbia	College	USC -	Columbia			USC-Columbia							Total Continuing Funds Requested FY 2008-09
	Grant		Building a Mathematical Learning Community		Digital Express					Making Math and	Technology High-Quality	High School Teacher	Inquiry and Technology	Professional Development	Program	On-Track: Teaching	Reading and Content	Knowledge					Total Continui
	PI		Bill Moss		Anna Baldwin					Lynn Noble		Christine Lotter				David Virtue							

